

Exam - Statistics (WBMA009-05) 2024/2025

Date and time: November 6, 2024, 18.15-20.15h

Place: Exam Hall 2, Blauwborgje 4

Rules to follow:

- This is a closed book exam. Consultation of books and notes is **not** permitted. You can use a simple (non-programmable) calculator.
- Write your name and student number onto each paper sheet. There are 4 exercises and you can reach 90 points. ALWAYS include the relevant equation(s) and/or short derivations.
- **We wish you success with the completion of the exam!**

START OF EXAM

1. Cramer-Rao bound and asymptotic confidence interval. 30

Consider a random sample

$$X_1, \dots, X_n \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$$

where $\mu = 0$ and the variance $\sigma^2 > 0$ is unknown. Recall the density of the $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$:

$$f_{\mu, \sigma^2}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sigma} \cdot \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(x - \mu)^2}{\sigma^2}\right\} \quad (x \in \mathbb{R})$$

- (a) 5 Show that $T(X_1, \dots, X_n) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2$ is a sufficient statistic.
- (b) 5 Show that the estimator $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2$ is unbiased.
- (c) 5 Show that the expected Fisher information (for sample size 1) is $(2\sigma^4)^{-1}$.
- (d) 5 Check whether the estimator from (b) attains the Cramer-Rao bound.
- (e) 10 Assume $n = 25$ and that the realization $\hat{\sigma}^2 = 2$ has been observed. Derive an asymptotic two-sided 80% confidence interval for σ^2 .
The relevant quantiles can be found in Table 1.

HINT: Given a random sample X_1, \dots, X_n from a $N(0, 1)$ distribution, it follows:

$$Z := \left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 \right) \sim \chi_n^2, \text{ with } E[Z] = n \text{ and } Var(Z) = 2n.$$

α	0.5	0.75	0.9	0.95	0.975	0.99	0.99997
q_α	0	0.7	1.3	1.6	2	2.3	4

Table 1: Approximate quantiles q_α of the $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ distribution.

2. Random sample. 30

Consider a distribution $\mathcal{D}(\theta_1, \theta_2)$ with density

$$f_{\theta_1, \theta_2}(x) = \frac{1 - \theta_1}{\theta_2} \cdot \exp\left\{\frac{x}{\theta_2}\right\} \cdot I_{(-\infty, 0)}(x) + \frac{\theta_1}{\theta_2} \cdot \exp\left\{-\frac{x}{\theta_2}\right\} \cdot I_{[0, \infty)}(x)$$

where $0 < \theta_1 < 1$ and $\theta_2 > 0$. We consider a random sample of size n :

$$X_1, \dots, X_n \sim \mathcal{D}(\theta_1, \theta_2)$$

(a) 10 Show that the log likelihood is given by:

$$l(\theta_1, \theta_2) = K \cdot \log(\theta_1) + (n - K) \cdot \log(1 - \theta_1) - n \cdot \log(\theta_2) - \frac{S}{\theta_2}$$

$$\text{where } S := \sum_{i=1}^n |X_i|, \text{ and } K := \sum_{i=1}^n I_{[0, \infty)}(X_i).$$

HINTS: Use the order statistics and that:

$$X_{(1)} \leq \dots \leq X_{(n-K)} < 0 \leq X_{(n-K+1)} \leq \dots < X_{(n)}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-K} X_{(i)} + \sum_{i=n-K+1}^n -X_{(i)} = -S$$

For the following exercise parts (b-d), we assume that θ_2 is a **known** parameter.

(b) 5 Show that $P(X_1 > 0) = \theta_1$.

(c) 5+5 Compute the ML estimator of θ_1 and show that it is unbiased.

(d) 5 Show that the Fisher information for sample size $n = 1$ is $I(\theta_1) = \frac{1}{\theta_1(1-\theta_1)}$.

3. UMP test for Poisson sample. 10

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a sample from a Poisson distribution with density:

$$p(x|\lambda) = e^{-\lambda} \cdot \frac{\lambda^x}{x!} \quad (x \in \mathbb{N}_0)$$

where $\lambda > 0$ is an unknown parameter.

Recall that $T(X_1, \dots, X_n) := \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ has a Poisson distribution with parameter $n\lambda$.

Derive the uniform most powerful (UMP) test for the test problem

$$H_0 : \lambda = 2 \quad \text{vs.} \quad H_1 : \lambda = 3$$

to the significance level $\alpha = 0.05$. Use the symbol $q_{\lambda, \alpha}$ for the α quantile of a Poisson distribution with parameter λ .

4. **Likelihood Ratio Test Statistic.** 20

Consider a random sample

$$X_1, \dots, X_n \sim \mathcal{F}_\theta$$

where $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. Let θ_0 and $\hat{\theta}_{ML}$ denote the true parameter and the ML estimator.

- (a) 10 Use a second order Taylor series expansion of the log-likelihood to show

$$-2 \log \left(\frac{L(\theta_0)}{\max_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \{L(\theta)\}} \right) \approx -(\hat{\theta}_{ML} - \theta_0)^2 \cdot l''(\hat{\theta}_{ML})$$

where $L(\theta)$ and $l(\theta)$ denote the likelihood and the log-likelihood, respectively, and $l''(\cdot)$ is the 2nd derivative of the log-likelihood.

- (b) 5 Show that the law of the large number implies for $n \rightarrow \infty$:

$$\frac{1}{n} \cdot l''(\theta_0) \rightarrow -I(\theta_0)$$

where $I(\cdot)$ is the expected Fisher information for a sample of size $n = 1$.

- (c) 5 Show that the central limit theorem implies for $n \rightarrow \infty$:

$$\frac{l'(\theta_0)}{\sqrt{n \cdot I(\theta_0)}} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$$

HINTS: You can assume that all the required regulatory conditions are fulfilled. For example, that the sample space does not depend on θ and that the density $f(x|\theta)$ of \mathcal{F}_θ is twice continuously differentiable.

EXERCISE 1 - SOLUTIONS

Note that $X_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$ implies $\frac{1}{\sigma} X_i \sim N(0, 1)$, so that (see hint):

$\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{X_i}{\sigma}\right)^2$ is χ_n^2 distributed.

(a) This follows from the factorization theorem, as:

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \cdot \sigma^{-n} \exp \left\{ -0.5 \cdot \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}{\sigma^2} \right\} = g\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2, \sigma^2\right) \cdot h(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

with $h(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 1$

(b): We have: $E[\hat{\sigma}^2] = \frac{1}{n} E \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{X_i}{\sigma}\right)^2 \right] \cdot \sigma^2 = \frac{1}{n} \cdot n \cdot \sigma^2 = \sigma^2$

(c): Build the log-likelihood (for X_1 only):

$$l_{X_1}(\sigma^2) = \log \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sigma} \cdot \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{X_1^2}{\sigma^2} \right\} \right) = \log \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \log(\sigma^2) - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \cdot X_1^2$$

Take the first and the second derivative w.r.t. σ^2 :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\sigma^2} l_{X_1}(\sigma^2) &= -\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{\sigma^2} - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{(\sigma^2)^2} \cdot (-1) \cdot X_1^2 \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{(\sigma^2)^2} \cdot X_1^2 \\ \frac{d^2}{d\sigma^2 d\sigma^2} l_{X_1}(\sigma^2) &= -\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{(\sigma^2)^2} \cdot (-1) + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{(\sigma^2)^3} \cdot (-2) \cdot X_1^2 \\ &= +\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{(\sigma^2)^2} - \frac{1}{(\sigma^2)^3} \cdot X_1^2 \end{aligned}$$

The expected Fisher information is:

$$\begin{aligned} I(\sigma^2) &= -E \left[\frac{d^2}{d\sigma^2 d\sigma^2} l_{X_1}(\sigma^2) \right] = -E \left[\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{(\sigma^2)^2} - \frac{1}{(\sigma^2)^3} \cdot X_1^2 \right] \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{(\sigma^2)^2} + \frac{1}{(\sigma^2)^3} \cdot E[X_1^2] = -\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{(\sigma^2)^2} + \frac{1}{(\sigma^2)^3} \cdot \sigma^2 = \frac{1}{2\sigma^4} \end{aligned}$$

(d) Yes, the estimator attains the Carmer-Rao bound $\frac{1}{n \cdot I(\sigma^2)} = \frac{1}{n \cdot \frac{1}{2\sigma^4}} = \frac{2\sigma^4}{n}$, because:

$$\begin{aligned} Var(\hat{\sigma}^2) &= \frac{1}{n^2} \cdot Var \left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 \right) = \frac{1}{n^2} \cdot Var \left(\sigma^2 \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{X_i}{\sigma} \right)^2 \right) \\ &= \frac{\sigma^4}{n^2} \cdot Var \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{X_i}{\sigma} \right)^2 \right) = \frac{\sigma^4}{n^2} \cdot 2n = \frac{2\sigma^4}{n} \end{aligned}$$

(e) For ML estimators we asymptotically have: $\sqrt{I(\theta)}\sqrt{n} \cdot (\hat{\theta}_{ML} - \theta) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$, hence:

$$P(\hat{\theta}_{ML} - \frac{q_{1-\alpha/2}}{\sqrt{I(\theta)} \cdot \sqrt{n}} \leq \theta \leq \hat{\theta}_{ML} - \frac{q_{\alpha/2}}{\sqrt{I(\theta)} \cdot \sqrt{n}}) = 0.95$$

With $q_{0.90} = 1.3$ and $q_{0.10} = -1.3$, and $I(\theta)$ being replaced by $I(\hat{\sigma}^2)$, we get the CI:

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 \pm 1.3/(\sqrt{I(\hat{\sigma}^2)} \cdot \sqrt{n})$$

Here we have $\hat{\sigma}^2 = 2$ and $1.3/(\sqrt{I(\hat{\sigma}^2)} \cdot \sqrt{n}) = 1.3/(\sqrt{\frac{1}{2 \cdot 2^2}} \cdot \sqrt{25}) = 1.3/\sqrt{\frac{25}{8}} \approx 0.74$.

So the two-sided 80% CI is: $[1.26, 2.74]$.

EXERCISE 2 - SOLUTIONS

For $x < 0$ we have: $f_{\theta_1, \theta_2}(x) = \frac{1-\theta_1}{\theta_2} \cdot \exp\left\{\frac{x}{\theta_2}\right\}$

For $x \geq 0$ we have: $f_{\theta_1, \theta_2}(x) = \frac{\theta_1}{\theta_2} \cdot \exp\left\{-\frac{x}{\theta_2}\right\}$

(a) Assume that $X_{(1)} \leq \dots \leq X_{(n-K)} < 0 \leq X_{(n-K+1)} \leq \dots < X_{(n)}$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} L(\theta_1, \theta_2) &= \prod_{i=1}^n f_{\theta_1, \theta_2}(X_i) = \prod_{i=1}^n f_{\theta_1, \theta_2}(X_{(i)}) \\ &= \left(\frac{1-\theta_1}{\theta_2}\right)^{n-K} \cdot \exp\left\{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n-K} x_{(i)}}{\theta_2}\right\} \cdot \left(\frac{\theta_1}{\theta_2}\right)^K \cdot \exp\left\{-\frac{\sum_{i=n-K+1}^n x_{(i)}}{\theta_2}\right\} \end{aligned}$$

We obtain the log-likelihood:

$$\begin{aligned} l(\theta_1, \theta_2) &= (n-K) \log\left(\frac{1-\theta_1}{\theta_2}\right) + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n-K} x_{(i)}}{\theta_2} + K \log\left(\frac{\theta_1}{\theta_2}\right) + \frac{\sum_{i=n-K+1}^n -x_{(i)}}{\theta_2} \\ &= (n-K) \log\left(\frac{1-\theta_1}{\theta_2}\right) + K \log\left(\frac{\theta_1}{\theta_2}\right) - \frac{S}{\theta_2} \quad (\text{see HINT}) \\ &= K \cdot \log(\theta_1) + (n-K) \cdot \log(1-\theta_1) - n \cdot \log(\theta_2) - \frac{S}{\theta_2} \end{aligned}$$

(b)

$$P(X_i > 0) = \int_0^\infty \frac{\theta_1}{\theta_2} \cdot \exp\left\{-\frac{x}{\theta_2}\right\} dx = \frac{\theta_1}{\theta_2} \cdot \left(-\theta_2 \exp\left\{-\frac{\infty}{\theta_2}\right\} + \theta_2 \exp\left\{-\frac{0}{\theta_2}\right\}\right) = \theta_1$$

(c) Take the derivatives w.r.t. θ_1 :

$$\begin{aligned} l'(\theta_1) &= \frac{K}{\theta_1} - (n-K) \cdot \frac{1}{1-\theta_1} \\ l''(\theta_1) &= -\frac{K}{\theta_1^2} - (n-K) \cdot \frac{1}{(1-\theta_1)^2} \end{aligned}$$

Setting the first derivative equal to zero, yields:

$$l'(\theta_1) = 0 \Leftrightarrow K(1-\theta_1) - (n-K) \cdot \theta_1 = 0 \Leftrightarrow \theta_1 = \frac{K}{n}$$

And as $l''(\theta_1) < 0$ for all θ_1 , we indeed have a maximum, so that $\hat{\theta}_{1,ML} = \frac{K}{n}$.

Check whether the ML estimator is unbiased:

$$E\left[\hat{\theta}_{1,ML}\right] = E\left[\frac{K}{n}\right] = \frac{E[K]}{n} = \frac{n \cdot \theta_1}{n} = \theta_1, \text{ as } E[K] = \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_1 = n \cdot \theta_1$$

(d) For $n = 1$:

$$I(\theta_1) = -E\left[-\frac{K}{\theta_1^2} - (1-K) \frac{1}{(1-\theta_1)^2}\right] = \frac{E[K]}{\theta_1^2} + \frac{1-E[K]}{(1-\theta_1)^2} = \frac{1}{\theta_1} + \frac{1}{1-\theta_1} = \frac{1}{\theta_1(1-\theta_1)}$$

EXERCISE 3 - SOLUTIONS

Compute the density ratio:

$$\begin{aligned} W(X_1, \dots, X_n) &= \frac{p(X_1, \dots, X_n | \lambda = 3)}{p(X_1, \dots, X_n | \lambda = 2)} \\ &= \frac{e^{-3n} \cdot \frac{3^{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}}{\prod_{i=1}^n X_i!}}{e^{-2n} \cdot \frac{2^{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}}{\prod_{i=1}^n X_i!}} = e^{-n} \cdot \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i} \end{aligned}$$

The density ratio is a monotone increasing function in $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i$.

We reject H_0 if $e^{-n} \cdot \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i} > k \Leftrightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n X_i > k_0$.

Under H_0 the statistic $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ has a Poisson distribution with parameter $2n$.

Therefore, the decision rule is to reject H_0 if $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i > q_{2n, 0.95}$.

EXERCISE 4 - SOLUTIONS:

(a) Second order Taylor series expansion:

$$\begin{aligned}
l(\theta_0) &\approx l(\hat{\theta}_{ML}) + (\hat{\theta}_{ML} - \theta_0) \cdot l'(\hat{\theta}_{ML}) + \frac{1}{2}(\hat{\theta}_{ML} - \theta_0)^2 \cdot l''(\hat{\theta}_{ML}) \\
&\approx l(\hat{\theta}_{ML}) + \frac{1}{2}(\hat{\theta}_{ML} - \theta_0)^2 \cdot l''(\hat{\theta}_{ML})
\end{aligned}$$

as $l'(\hat{\theta}_{ML}) = 0$.

It follows

$$\begin{aligned}
-2 \log \left(\frac{L(\theta_0)}{\max_{\theta \in \Theta} \{L(\theta)\}} \right) &= -2 \log \left(\frac{L(\theta_0)}{L(\hat{\theta}_{ML})} \right) \\
&= -2 \cdot (l(\theta_0) - l(\hat{\theta}_{ML})) \\
&\approx -2 \cdot \left(l(\hat{\theta}_{ML}) + \frac{1}{2}(\hat{\theta}_{ML} - \theta_0)^2 \cdot l''(\hat{\theta}_{ML}) - l(\hat{\theta}_{ML}) \right) \\
&\approx -(\hat{\theta}_{ML} - \theta_0)^2 \cdot l''(\hat{\theta}_{ML})
\end{aligned}$$

(b) We have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{n} \cdot l''(\theta_0) &= \frac{1}{n} \cdot \left(\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} \log \left(\prod_{i=1}^n f(X_i|\theta) \right) \right) \Big|_{\theta=\theta_0} \\
&= \frac{1}{n} \cdot \left(\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \log(f(X_i|\theta)) \right) \Big|_{\theta=\theta_0} \\
&= \frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} \log(f(X_i|\theta)) \right) \Big|_{\theta=\theta_0} \\
&= \frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n l''_{X_i}(\theta_0)
\end{aligned}$$

The law of the Large numbers (LLN) implies that the mean converges in probability to

$$E[l''_{X_1}(\theta_0)] = E \left[\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} \log(f(X_1|\theta)) \right] \Big|_{\theta=\theta_0} = -I(\theta_0)$$

(c) Exactly like in (b) we get: $\frac{1}{n} \cdot l'(\theta_0) = \dots = \frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n l'_{X_i}(\theta_0)$.

Therefore it follows from the CLT:

$$\sqrt{n} \cdot \frac{\frac{1}{n} \cdot l'(\theta_0) - E[l'_{X_1}(\theta_0)]}{\sqrt{V(l'_{X_1}(\theta_0))}} = \frac{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \cdot l'(\theta_0)}{\sqrt{E[l'_{X_1}(\theta_0)^2]}} = \frac{l'(\theta_0)}{\sqrt{n \cdot I(\theta_0)}} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$$

because $E[l'_{X_1}(\theta_0)] = \int \frac{f'_{\theta_0}(x)}{f_{\theta_0}(x)} f_{\theta_0}(x) dx = \frac{d}{d\theta} \int f_{\theta_0}(x) dx = 0$ and $I(\theta_0) := E[l'_{X_1}(\theta_0)^2]$.